

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/751,748

Applicant(s)

HUDEPOHL ET AL.

Examiner

William M. Treat

Art Unit

2183

All Participants:

(1) William M. Treat.

(2) James W. Huffman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 August 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative when speaking with the examiner told the examiner he had inadvertently written the wrong month (i.e., June) down when filling out the express mail information for the RCE he submitted on 7/28/04. The examiner indicated that he would note the matter in an interview summary record but did not consider it to be a problem..